

## Cascade Commentary

July 2020 #20-24

## **Summary:**

The Metro, the regional government, is charging ahead with a \$7 billion "T2020" transportation package focused on an expensive and unneeded light rail line. ...T2020 will impose hundreds of dollars in new taxes on just about every working person in the region.

Word Count: 677

"Since Most of the tax will be used to build a light rail line from Portland to Bridgeport Village—a light rail line that will worsen road congestion."

4850 SW Scholls Ferry Road Suite 103 Portland, Oregon 97225

t: 503.242.0900 f: 503.242.3822 info@cascadepolicy.org www.cascadepolicy.org

## Metro's Transportation Package: Progressive Politics Mask Regressive Tax

By Eric Fruits, Ph.D.

While much of the region is stuck at home under the governor's "stay home, stay safe" order, the Metro regional government is charging ahead with a \$7 billion "T2020" transportation package focused on an expensive and unneeded light rail line. Unlike Metro's recently passed taxes for housing services, T2020 will impose hundreds of dollars in new taxes on just about every working person in the region.

To fund its massive spending plan, Metro has settled on a new poll-driven tax: a payroll tax anticipated to cost about \$250 million a year. Approximately 925,000 people work in Metro's jurisdiction, so the payroll tax will be about \$270 a year per employee. Where will that payroll tax money come from?

In most cases, the payroll tax will fall on the workers. A <u>review</u> of the research on payroll taxes concludes that workers tend to bear nearly all of the burden of payroll tax, even if the tax is levied on their employer: "virtually all applied incidence studies assume that both the employee share and the employer share are borne by the employee (through a fall in the net wage by the full amount of payroll tax)."

Research published earlier this year concludes "the employment effects of payroll taxes are concentrated among low-skilled workers and workers performing routine tasks." In other words, payroll taxes are regressive and disproportionately burden low-wage workers. There are several ways workers would bear the full burden of the payroll tax.

Employers will reduce wages. They may not directly cut workers' wages; instead, workers may find that they don't get the annual pay raise they expected. Employers may cut workers' hours. Wage reductions can come in the form of making workers pay more for employer provided benefits such as health insurance. Wage reductions can also come in the form of reduced benefits like less vacation pay. There are many ways to push the costs onto employees.

(over)



Employers will reduce the number of workers. Hiring plans can be put on hold, and retiring workers may not be replaced. Evidence indicates some firms replace low-skilled workers with higher-skilled workers. Other firms replace low-skilled workers with technology, as seen with restaurants replacing employees with computer ordering kiosks. With the pandemic, some firms have learned there's no special benefit to doing business in the metro region. Why not move to Bend, Vancouver, or Boise?

Proponents of the payroll tax argue the money will come out of company profits. This is simply not true. Currently, Metro's payroll tax would be assessed on *all* employers with more than 25 employees, including nonprofits and government agencies which have no profits to tax. Portland-area businesses have already had their profits extracted with Oregon's Corporate Activities Tax, Portland and Multnomah County's business income taxes, Portland's Clean Energy Fund tax, and Metro's new business income tax that goes into effect next year. There are no more profits to tax.

Unlike Social Security, Medicare, and unemployment payroll taxes, workers paying Metro's payroll tax receive no direct benefit. Most of the tax will be used to build a light rail line from Portland to Bridgeport Village—a light rail line that will worsen road congestion. The project anticipates tearing up Barbur Boulevard and adding congestion to dozens of intersections and highway ramps. Workers will be handing over a chunk of their paycheck for projects that will make their lives worse, not better.

For years, TriMet has been violating its contractual obligations with the Federal Transit Administration regarding operations of the Yellow, Green, and Orange light rail lines. For example, TriMet promised both the Yellow Line and the Green Line would run 8 trains during peak hours in 2020. In contrast, before the pandemic TriMet was running only 4 trains an hour on these lines. TriMet promised the Orange Line would run 6 trains during peak hours in 2020; instead, before COVID-19 it had been running 23% below the promised levels.

Metro's transportation package is a monument to misplaced priorities, and its reliance on regressive payroll taxes makes it an abomination. It's time to tell Metro enough is enough.

Eric Fruits, Ph.D. is Vice President of Research at Cascade Policy Institute, Oregon's free market public policy research organization.

"Portland-area businesses have already had their profits extracted with Oregon's **Corporate** Activities Tax, Portland and Multnomah County's business income taxes, Portland's Clean Energy Fund tax, and Metro's new business income tax that goes into effect next year."

Attention editors and producers:

Cascade Commentaries are provided for reprint in newspapers and other publications, with credit given to author(s) and Cascade. Contact Cascade to arrange print or broadcast interviews on this commentary topic.

Please contact:

Cascade Policy Institute 4850 SW Scholls Ferry Rd. Suite 103 Portland, Oregon 97225

Phone: (503) 242-0900 Fax: (503) 242-3822

www.cascadepolicy.org info@cascadepolicy.org

Cascade Policy Institute is a tax-exempt educational organization as defined under IRS code 501 (c)(3). Nothing appearing in this Cascade Commentary is to be construed as necessarily representing the views of Cascade or its donors. The views expressed herein are the author's own.